etal anomalies affecting the axial skeleton of Tht heterozygotes (see Figure 4). This mutation was mapped to chromosome 5 (Beechey and Searle 1980) and was further shown to be noncomplementary with a novel insertional mutation usdTgN370Rpw that causes defects in the vertebrae of the distal tail (Schrick et al. 1995). When both alleles are present in the same mouse, they lead to a more severe defect in vertebrae at the tip of the tail than does either mutation by itself, suggesting that usdTgN370Rpw and Tht might be alleles (Schrick et al. 1995). It should now be possible to clone the gene using the usdTgP370Rpw transgene insertion and study its aberrant expression in the Tht and usdTgN370Rpw mutant mice.

From the Biology Division, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, Tennessee (Selby) and the University of Tennessee-Oak Ridge, Graduate School for Biomedical Sciences, Oak Ridge, Tennessee. J J Schrick is currently at the Children's Hospital Research Foundation, Cincinnati, Ohio. Address correspondence to Paul B. Selby, Risk Analysis Section, Health Sciences Research Division, Oak Ridge Tech Center VI, Mail Stop 6480, 1060 Commerce Park Dr., Oak Ridge, TN 37830. We thank Drs. Liane Russell, Mary Ann Handel, William G. Richards, and Richard P. Woychik for their comments and support of this manuscript. The research was conducted at the Oak Ridge National Laboratory, managed by Lockheed Martin Energy Research Corp. for the U.S. Department of Energy under contract no. DE-AC05-96OR22464. Accordingly, the U.S. government retains a nonexclusive, royalty-free license to publish or reproduce the published form of the contribution, or allow others to do so, for U.S. government purposes.

The Journal of Heredity 1997:88(5)

References

Beechey CV and Searle AG, 1980. Thick tail: *Tht* Mouse News Lett 62:48.

Christ B and Wilting J, 1992 From somites to vertebral column. Ann Anat 174:23-32.

Condie BG and Capecchi MR, 1993. Mice homozygous for a targeted disruption of *Hoxd-3* (*Hox-4 1*) exhibit anterior transformations of the first and second cervical vertebrae, the atlas and the axis. Development 119: 579–595

Conlon FL, Lyons KM, Takaesu N, Barth K, Kispert A, Herrmann B, and Robertson EJ, 1994. A primary requirement for nodal in the formation and maintenance of the primitive streak in the mouse. Development 120: 1919–1928

Davis AP and Capecchi MR, 1994. Axial homeosis and appendicular skeleton defects in mice with a targeted disruption of haxd-11. Development 120:2187-2198.

Dietrich S, Schubert FR, and Gruss P, 1993. Altered *Pax* gene expression in murine notochord mutants: the notochord is required to initiate and maintain ventral identity in the somite. Mech Dev 44:189–207.

Dollé P, Izpisúa-Belmonte J-C, Boncinelli E, and Doboule D, 1991. The Hox-4.8 gene is localized to the 5' extremity of the Hox-4 complex and is expressed in the most posterior parts of the body during development. Mech Dev 36:3-13.

Doolittle DP, Davisson MT, and Guldi JN, 1996. Catalog of mutant genes and polymorphic loci. In: Genetic variants and strains of the laboratory mouse, 3rd ed (Lyon MF, Rastan S, and Searle AG, eds). Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Echelard Y, Epstein DJ, St-Jacques B, Shen L, Mohler J, McMahon JA, and McMahon AP, 1994. Sonic hedgehog, a member of a family of putative signaling molecules, is implicated in the regulation of CNS polarity. Cell 75: 1417–1430.

Kessel M and Gruss P, 1990. Murine developmental control genes. Science 249:374–379.

Kingsley DM, 1994. What do BMPs do in mammals? Clues from the mouse short-ear mutation. TIG 10:16-21.

Kispert A and Herrmann BG, 1994. Immunohistochemical analysis of the *Brachyury* protein in wild-type and mutant mouse embryos. Dev Biol 161:179–193.

Koseki H, Wallin J, Wilting J, Mizutani Y, Kispert A, Ebensperger C, Herrmann BG, Christ B, and Balling R, 1993. A role for *Pax-I* as a mediator of notochordal signals during the dorsal ventral specification of vertebrae. Development 119:649-660.

Lufkin T, Mark M, Hart C, Dollé P, Lemeur M, and Chambon P, 1992. Homeotic transformation of the occipital bones of the skull by ectopic expression of a homeobox gene. Nature 359:835–841.

Mansour S, Goddard J, and Capecchi M, 1993. Mice homozygous for the targeted disruption of the proto-on-cogene *int-2* have developmental defects in the tail and ear. Development 117:13–28.

McLain K, Schreiner C, Yager K, Stock J, and Potter SS, 1992. Ectopic expression of *Hox-2.3* induces craniofacial and skeletal malformations in transgenic mice. Mech Dev 39:3–16.

McLeod MJ, 1980. Differential staining of cartilage and bone in whole mouse fetuses by alcian blue and alizarin red S. Teratology 22:299–301.

Roberts CW, Sonder AM, Lumsden A, Korsmeyer SJ, 1995. Developmental expression of Hox11 and specification of splenic cell fate. Am J Pathol 146:1089–1101.

Rosen V and Thies RS, 1992. The BMP proteins in bone formation and repair. TIG 8:97-102.

Sasaki H and Hogan BLM, 1993. Differential expression of multiple fork head related genes during gastrulation and axial pattern formation in the mouse embryo. Development 118:47–59.

Schoenwolf GC and Smith JL, 1990. Mechanisms of neurulation: traditional viewpoint and recent advances. Development 109:243–270.

Schrick JJ, Dickinson ME, Hogan BLM, Selby PB, and Woychik RP, 1995. Molecular and phenotypic characterization of a new mouse insertional mutation that causes a defect in the distal vertebrae of the spine. Genetics 140:1061–1067.

Selby PB, 1987. A rapid method for preparing high quality alizarin stained skeletons of adult mice. Stain Tech 62:143–146.

Selby PB, 1990. Experimental induction of dominant mutations in mammals by ionizing radiation and chemicals. In: Issues and reviews in teratology (Kalter H, ed). New York: Plenum; 5:181-253.

Taketo M, Schroeder AC, Mobraaten LE, Gunning KB, Hanten G, Fox RR, Roderick TH, Stewart CL, Lilly F, Hansen CT and Overbeek PA, 1991 FVB/N: an inbred mouse strain preferable for transgenic analyses. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 88:2065-2069.

Yamada T, Placzek M, Tanaka H, Dodd J, and Jessel TM, 1991. Control of cell pattern in the developing nervous system: polarizing activity of the floor plate and notochord. Cell 64:635-647.

Wallin J, Wilting J, Koseki H, Fritsch R, Christ B, and Balling R, 1994. The role of *Pax-I* in axial skeleton development. Development 120:1109-1121.

Received March 15, 1996 Accepted November 25, 1996

Corresponding Editor: Murlel T. Davisson

Inheritance of Body Coloration in the Lyretail Toothcarp (*Aphyosemion australe* Cyprinodontidae)

J. S. Frankel

The lyretail toothcarp (Aphyosemion australe) exhibits two body colorations. These are a brown coloration characteristic of A. australe and an orange color variant sometimes referred to as the golden lyretail. Segregation patterns observed in the offspring from 11 different matings support the hypothesis that body coloration in A. australe is controlled by two autosomal loci acting in a complementary fashion, with dominance at both loci required for the expression of the brown phenotype.

Egg-laying toothcarps in the genus Aphyosemion (Cyprinodontidae) exhibit a variety of coloration patterns and body markings (Axelrod and Vorderwinkler 1962; Paysan 1975). The lyretail toothcarp (Aphyosemion australe), one of the more $\stackrel{\circ}{=}$ common African "nonannual" toothcarps, 5 exhibits two distinct body color phenotypes. Characteristically this species ex-8 hypaxial regions of the body with small red spots (Mills 1993). A color variant of \(^{\mu}_{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{olor}}}}}\) A. australe, which arose among aquarium \(\) stocks of A. australe and is sometimes re- $\frac{\Omega}{\Omega}$ ferred to as the golden lyretail, is distinctively orange in color with varying intensities of red spotting on its flanks. Natural populations of this species are almost exclusively comprised of individuals exhibiting the brown phenotype.

As a result of an extensive use of A. australe in my laboratory for comparatives studies on the regulation and divergence of isozyme loci, I had the opportunity to 13 ascertain the nature of the inheritance of body coloration in the lyretail toothcarp. The present communication reports on these findings.

Materials and Methods

Healthy adults of *A. australe* exhibiting either the brown coloration pattern characteristic of this species or its orange color variant were obtained from Mid-Atlantic Distributors, Inc. (Springfield, Virginia), and maintained in 20 gal capacity holding tanks at 26°C. Sexually mature pairs exhibiting the brown and orange phenotypes were selected at random and placed in 5 gal capacity breeding tanks. All fry were

obtained from natural matings under conditions described by Axelrod and Vorderwinkler (1962). Subsequent to each spawning, the parentals were removed from the breeding tank. After leaving their eggshells, fry from each mating were placed in their own 5 gal rearing tank and allowed to develop until their phenotype could be visually determined. Parental and selected F_1 fishes of both phenotypes were subsequently used in a series of 31 matings, and the phenotypic data from all progeny were recorded and subjected to chi-square analysis.

Results and Discussion

Probable genotypes, observed phenotypic frequencies, expected ratios, and probability of fit for A. australe analyzed for the inheritance of the brown and the orange (golden lyretail) phenotypes are given in Table 1. All P, F₁, and F₂ individuals conformed to either the brown or orange phenotype. Parentals exhibiting the brown coloration (A1, A2, A3, and A4) were scored as homozygous dominants, since all matings involving these individuals resulted in that phenotype (matings 1-6, 13, 14, 17, 18, 23, 24). Parentals exhibiting the orange phenotype (H1, H2, and H3) were scored as homozygous recessives, as all matings between these fishes resulted in all orange fry (matings 7-11). In addition, reciprocal matings between orange and brown parentals always resulted in brown progeny (matings 17 and 18). Further, crosses between these F2s resulted in a satisfactory fit to a 9 brown: 7 orange ratio of F₂ progeny (matings 19-22), commensurate with a modified 9:3:3:1 ratio resulting from dominant complementary gene action (i.e., A B is required for the brown phenotype). Backcrosses of brown parentals consistently bred true (matings 13, 23, 24), while backcrosses of orange parentals resulted in a satisfactory fit to the expected 1 brown: 3 orange ratio (matings 25-28).

Complementary gene action as the mode of inheritance of body coloration in A. australe is also supported by matings employing F_1 fry presumed to be homozygous recessives (N2). Matings between N2 fishes and heterozygotes (N3 and N4) resulted in a satisfactory fit to the expected 1:3 ratio (matings 29–31), while N2 \times N2 matings and backcrosses of orange

parentals (matings 15 and 16, respectively) resulted in the expected orange fry.

In conclusion, the data presented here supports the hypothesis that body coloration in *A. australe* is controlled by two autosomal loci acting in a complementary fashion, with dominance at both loci required for the expression of the brown phenotype. It is interesting to note that a similar mode of inheritance has been observed for the blue and obliterative trunk colorations in the three-spot gourami (*Trichogaster trichopterus* Pallas; Frankel 1992).

From the Department of Biology, Howard University, Washington, D.C. 20059.

The Journal of Heredity 1997:88(5)

References

Axelrod HR and Vorderwinkler W, 1962. Encyclopedia of tropical fishes, 7th ed. Jersey City, New Jersey: T.F.H. Publications.

Frankel JS, 1992. Inheritance of trunk coloration in the three-spot gouraml, *Trichogaster trichopterus* Pallas. J Fish Biol 41:663-665.

Mills D, 1993. Aquarium fish. London: Dorling Kindersley.

Paysan K, 1975. Guide to aquarium fishes. New York: Quadrangle/New York Times Book Co.

Received October 9, 1995 Accepted November 5, 1996

Corresponding Editor: Donald C. Morizot

Table 1. Probable genotypes (PG), expected ratios, and probability of fit for matings among brown and orange lyretail toothcarp

Mating	Parents					Phenotypic frequencies		Expect- - ed	
по	Ŷ	(PG)		đ	(PG)	Brown	Orange	ratio	P
1	Al	(AABB)	×	Al	(AABB)	33 (N1)	0	1:0	
2	A2	(AABB)	×	A2	(AABB)	30	0	1:0	_
3	A3	(AABB)	×	A3	(AABB)	25	0	1:0	_
4	A4	(AABB)	×	A4	(AABB)	37	0	1.0	_
5	A1	(AABB)	×	A2	(AABB)	39	0	1.0	_
6	A4	(AABB)	×	A1	(AABB)	25	0	1:0	_
Sum of F_1 offspring from cross type $AABB \times AABB$						189	0	1:0	_
7	HI	(aabb)	×	H1	(aabb)	0	23 (N2)	0:1	_
8	H2	(aabb)	×	H2	(aabb)	0	30	0:1	_
9	Н3	(aabb)	×	H3	(aabb)	0	35	0.1	_
10	H1	(aabb)	×	H2	(aabb)	0	28	0.1	_
11	H2	(aabb)	×	H1	(aabb)	0	32	0:1	_
Sum of F_1 offspring from cross type $aabb \times aabb$						0	148	0:1	_
12	N1	(AABB)	×	N1	(AABB)	36	0	1:0	_
13	N1	(AABB)	×	A1	(AABB)	30	0	1:0	_
14	A2	(AABB)	×	N1	(AABB)	32	0	1:0	_
Sum of F, and backcross of spring from									
cross type AABB × AABB						98	0	1:0	_
15	N2	(aabb)	×	N2	(aabb)	0	34	0:1	_
16	H1	(aabb)	×	N2	(aabb)	0	31	0.1	_
Sum of F ₂ and backcross offspring from									
cross type $aabb \times aabb$						0	65	0:1	_
17	A 1	(AABB)	×	H1	(aabb)	25 (N3)	0	1:0	_
18	H3	(aabb)	×	Н3	(AABB)	32 (N4)	0	1:0	_
Sum of F ₁ of	ffspring	from cross	types		• •				
$AABB \times aabb$ and $aabb \times AABB$						57	0	1:0	_
19	N3	(AaBb)	×	N3	(AaBb)	14	10	9:7	.9075
20	N4	(AaBb)	×	N4	(AaBb)	21	14	9:7	.5025
21	N3	(AaBb)	×	N4	(AaBb)	16	13	9:7	>.90
22	N4	(AaBb)	×	N3	(AaBb)	23	15	9:7	.7550
Sum of F ₂ offspring from cross type $AaBb \times AaBb$						74	52	9:7	.7550
23	A 1	(AABB)	×	N3	(AaBb)	36	0	1:0	_
24	N4	(AaBb)	×	A3	(AABÉ)	33	0	1:0	_
Sum of backcross offspring from cross types									
$AABB \times AaBb$ and $AaBb \times AABB$						69	0	1:0	_
25	N3	(AaBb)	×	H1	(aabb)	9	25	1:3	.9075
26	НЗ	(aabb)	×	N4	(AaBb)	10	27	1:3	.9075
27	N3	(AaBb)	×	HI	(aabb)	4	23	1:3	.2510
28	H3	(aabb)	×	N4	(AaBb)	ıi	26	1:3	.7550
29	N3	(AaBb)	×	N2	(aabb)	8	28	1:3	.7550
30	N2	(aabb)	×	N4	(AaBb)	6	20	1:3	.9075
31	N2	(aabb)	×	N4	(AaBb)	12	29	1:3	.75–.50
Sum of F, and backcross offspring from cross types									
$AaBb \times aabb$ and $aabb \times AaBb$						50	178	1:3	.5025

Fish designated (A) are brown parentals; fish designated (H) are orange parentals; fish designated (N) are first-generation offspring and exhibit either the brown or orange phenotype.